



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,378	08/24/2001	Joseph Franklin Garvey	RAL920000124US1	RAL920000124US1 3898	
45503	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER		
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			VU, TUAN A		
8911 N. CAPI SUITE 2110	TAL OF TEXAS HWY.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78759			2193		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/939,378	GARVEY, JOSEPH FRANKLIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Vu	2193		

· .	Tuan A. Vu	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) bow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the second of th	it before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Anneal will r	not he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see continuation sheet NOTE.			ince because:				
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	_				
	Banne se	ZHEN	•				
	WEI Y. <b>Prim</b> ary e	ZHEN ' Xaminer					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

NOTE from 11: The BEQ as cited in the rejection is a misspell of BEQL,pg. 57, 2<sup>nd</sup> para. The first branch location can be either BGTR of pg. 54 or BEQL of pg. 57. The claim lists means for generating 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and third location without specifying undeniable requirements as to how these locations are generated as a consequence of the one another, if at all. Hence there is no relationship requirement between the location thus cited except for the fact some boolean expression is T or F and that one location is created at the end of the assembly block expression. The arguments therefore are not persuasive in clarifying how the claimed invention overcome what is used from the references owing to the lack of interrelational specificity of the features listed in the claim. The means for indicating a branch locations is also claimed broad in order to distinguish over what has been cited. THe claims hence are not in condition for allowance.